2008-2009 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: The Learning Station

DISTRICTS SERVED: Gary Com. Schools, School City of Hammond, Merrillville Com. Sch.

Corp., School City of East Chicago, Charter School of the Dunes

OF STUDENTS SERVED*: 181 (English/Language Arts); 182 (Math)

*DEFINED AS ATTENDING AT LEAST ONE SES SESSION

2008-2009 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: C-

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: C-

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: C-

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 0%

Overall score: n/a

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100%

Overall score: 1.0 out of 4.0

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 33%

Overall Score: 3.0 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: C-

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT	
% of parents reporting:	0%
Overall score:	n/a
DISTRICT REPORT:	
% of districts reporting:	100%
Overall score:	66%
PRINCIPAL REPORT:	
% of principals reporting:	33%
Overall score:	3.3 out of 4.0
ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	no report completed
SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	C-
ACADEMIC EFFEC	CTIVENESS
COMPLETION RATE:	95% (English/Language Arts) 95% (Math)
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	TLS Assessment
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS ON PROVIDER ASSESSMENT:	100% (English/Language Arts) 99% (Math)
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	95% (English/Language Arts) 95% (Math)

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

Category	TLS (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	TLS (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	105	2869	101	2823
% showing				
improvement on ISTEP+**	33%	50%	27%	49%

^{*}Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions and have ISTEP+ scores for both years.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS				
	#	% Matched	% showing	change in
	Matched		improvement	passing %*
SES			33%	-12.3%
Not SES	105	100%	43%	-4.7%

MATHEMATICS				
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing improvement	change in passing %*
SES			26%	-18.2%
Not SES	99	98%	37%	-10.1%

^{*}Change in passing percentage compares the two groups passing percentages from Fall 2008 to Spring 2009

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages).

E:	GRADE:	ENESS	EFFECTIV	ACADEMIC
----	--------	-------	----------	----------

OVERALL GRADE: C-

^{**}Improvement on ISTEP+ is defined as, for students who did not pass ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting closer to the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score, and for students passing ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting further away from the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score.